082

FILED IN CHAMBERS

	RIGINAL IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT JUN 2 1 2005,
~ C	OIGINA	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUD
יט	VI.O.) N.D. GEORGIA
550		į.
		í
	WANDA SPANN,	í
		í
	PLAINTIFF) CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:04-CV-1951 (MHS)
	1 44 14 1 4 4)
	Vs.	\
	, 7.2 .	1
	COBB COUNTY GOVERNMENT,	(
	그 그 그 일반 이번에 가지 않아 하지만 때 사람이 되었다. 그런 이번에 가지 않아 하지만	(
	COBB COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT	Į.
	JUDGES COUNCIL,)
	COBB COUNTY PRETRIAL COURT)
	SERVICES AGENCY,)
	FRANK COX, in his OFFICIAL	_)
	CAPACITY as HONORABLE CHIEF JUDG OF THE COBB COUNTY MAGISTRATE	Ľ)
		?
	COURT & in his INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY	2
	1 <u>2</u> 23.1 <u>2</u> 33.1 <u>3</u> 33.033)
į.	Defendants)

PLANTIFF MOTION TO DEPOSE JUDGE MARY STALEY

Now comes Plaintiff Wanda Spann requesting to depose Judge Mary Staley of the Cobb County Superior. This request is in light of new and conflicting testimony received during the deposition of Howard "Skip" Cheshire.

1.

Howard Cheshire did appear for deposition on June 20, 2005. While under oath, Cheshire said that the "Affidavit of Howard Cheshire" sworn to and subscribed on November 10, 2004 and signed under his signature on the

r

same date, was prepared by Judge Mary Staley. This claim was made after he was unable to answer questions relating to the affidavit. The Plaintiff and the Court were misled in their belief that Cheshire had written the affidavit that he swore to under oath; and that the information provided was prepared under his direction. The court relied on much of the information provided by the Cheshire affidavit that Plaintiff believes to be false and misleading. Cheshire was questioned about many areas of the affidavit that he had no answer to due to lack of memory or knowledge.

2.

Plaintiff wishes to depose Judge Mary Staley the true author of the document, as claimed under oath by Cheshire, to learn how the information was obtained, and under what conditions. Plaintiff believes that Judge Staley can provide such information.

3.

Plaintiff also believes that Judge Mary Staley should be allowed to contest or challenge Cheshire's accusation under oath.