A. No, I did not.

Q. What did you do?

.

_ :

A. Those were, as I said before, copies of bond orders that Ms. Armbruster had made over a period of time that I had taken home back in February that she asked me to look at. They had been sitting on my couch for months, and these were just different court orders involving people who were not placed under pretrial supervision. It involved other cases whereby defendants were not revoked when their bonds were, when they violated a condition of their bond.

There were several different reasons why those bond orders were collected, and they were just, again, just copies, something for my review. And she gave those to me because, again, they had been there for a couple of months just sitting there on the sofa. They were just notebooks with these copies of cases she wanted me to review.

And Mattie always told me this -- there were two different reasons for collecting those bond orders. My reason was we had numerous defendants who had come through the system on child molestation, aggravated child molestation cases. These defendants were not placed by the magistrate court under pretrial supervision, which is a standard condition.

As a result, the police department, their crimes against children's unit, kept calling us wanting to know why isn't this person under pretrial supervision. DFACS was calling. We were getting numerous calls because of the fact that a lot of times these defendants were going back and having contact with these young kids. And it created a problem because each time the defendant had bonded out, and we had no paperwork to show that they had bonded out because once they bond out, if they're not under pretrial supervision, then it's shredded, the bond orders are shredded.

Now, we were having problems when we were getting these calls because we had no record of it. So I told Mattie just start making copies of those that aren't going under supervision, and then once we get a call from someone, we'll know where to go.

Now, for a long time, I was taking those cases back to Judge Cox. I said, Judge Cox, you know, we're getting these calls these defendants are not being placed under supervision as required. It's creating problems.

There was one case whereby the defendant had molested his own daughter and he had impregnated his daughter. He had cases in DeKalb County. He had

5

cases in Cobb County. He kept violating his bond by having contact with her. That was another case we were taking back. So the bottom line is that was my way of keeping a record of these cases so I can go back and review.

Mattie Armbruster had a totally different reason for keeping the case, and I found that out later on. She felt, and this is what she told me. I don't know if it's okay to say what she told me, but she told me that she believed that Judge Cox was for sale. That's what she kept telling me, he's for sale, he's for sale. You should see some of these cases. But the cases were piling up. I didn't have time to look at them because I was getting ready for a GCIC audit which comes around in March.

So in February when all this started happening, she just came to me and said, Ms. Spann, you need to take these notebooks home and take a look at them. I took the notebooks home in February and they sat in my house. You know, I was still going to work every day and I would look at them from time to time. So that's all that was was just copies of copies. I didn't take anything that I could not have taken anyway, just take home to review.

Every bond order, and you know this from

being a lawyer, the original is in the clerk's office. Anyone can get a copy of the bond order. So she was -- and plus, and she was very suspicious of Judge Cox because Judge Cox had ordered her -- and I can attest to this and so can other employees. He had dictated a letter on a murder case that was not true, and Ms. Armbruster was forced to sign that letter. He wrote that letter in her name, and from that point on, she never -- there was no trust there. So I was looking at one thing and she was looking at another. So that's what those orders consisted of.

- Q. Okay. So some of the orders you had copied for the reasons that you have given, and you also had copies of some because of Ms. Armbruster's reasons?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. About how many pages did you have?
- A. Well, there are about four different notebooks. There were about four different notebooks of the copies, but --
- Q. And these, how many pages would be in each notebook approximately?
- A. The notebooks were -- I don't know if you have one in here. Some of them were small, and then the other ones were about this tall (indicating)?